COMBINED EVALUATION REPORT

SELECTION OF CONSULTING FIRM

Credit No. 9170-PK

Project Name:

Punjab Resource Improvement & Digital Effectiveness (PRIDE) Program *Country:* Islamic Republic of Pakistan

Title of Consulting Services:
Hiring of Firm for Internal Audit

Ref. No.: PK-PSU-257286-CS-QCBS

Date of Submission: 26-January-2023



Contents

Secti	ion I. Combin	ed Evaluation Report—Summary	1
Secti	ion II. Technic	al Evaluation Report—Forms	5
	Form IIB.	Technical Evaluation - Basic Data	7
Secti	ion III. Financi	al Evaluation Report—Award Recommendation—Forms	9
	Form IIIB.	Financial Evaluation—Basic Data	11
		QCBS—Combined Technical/Financial Evaluation Award Recommendation	
Anne	ex I. Minutes o	of Public Opening of Financial Proposals	14



Section I. Combined Evaluation Report—Summary

1.1 Background

Program Support Unit (PSU) established in the P&D Board to implement Punjab Resource Improvement and Digital Effectiveness (PRIDE) Program. It is a five-year program (PforR) funded by International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (IBRD) – World Bank Group with objectives to strengthen Public Financial Management (PFM) Systems and revenue mobilization in the Punjab Province to promote coherent PFM systems. The Program will also support the GoPb's Development response to COVID-19 challenges. The Program Support Unit (PSU) in the P&D Board is responsible to coordinate implementation of activities under the P&D Board.

PSU is looking to improve and strengthen its internal accounting control environment and is looking to hire a firm to conduct an internal audit. The firm will be responsible for conducting an in-depth internal audit of PRIDE Program including technical assistance component (PSU & PMFU) and selected implementing agencies of the program, as and when required. The program funding LO/LQs/Heads of budget being used for expenditure purposes will be shared with the audit firm by PSU, P&D Board, as per expenditure framework of the program.

1.2 The Selection Process (Prior to Technical Evaluation)

The procurement activity for hiring of consultancy firm for Internal Audit has been cleared by the World Bank at a total cost of 400,000 USD on STEP as a Post Review activity through Quality & Cost Based Selection (QCBS) method for project period. The TORs related to hiring of Internal Audit firm were shared with Finance Department. They endorsed the said TORs, and amended the time period of five (5) years to four (4) years. The TORs were sent to World Bank for clearance on Feb 24, 2022. The World Bank cleared the TORs on Feb 24, 2022.

REOI was published in two national daily newspapers on March 30, 2022 and PPRA, UNDB and PIU websites. The deadline for submission of EOIs was April 22, 2022. By close of date, eleven (11) EOIs were submitted by the following consulting firms:

Sr.	Consulting Firms	Status
1.	A.F. Ferguson & Co. (PWC Network)	Single Entity
2.	Taseer Hadi & Co. (KPMG)	Single Entity
3.	Rehman Sarfaraz Rahim Iqbal Rafiq (Russell Bedford)	Single Entity
4.	Aslam Malik & Co. (UK 2000 Group International)	Single Entity

5.	Riaz Ahmad, Saqib, Gohar & Co.	Single Entity
6.	Grant Thornton (KSA)	Single Entity
7.	PKF F.R.A.N.T.S.	Single Entity
8.	Suriya Nauman Rehan & Co.	Single Entity
9.	Avais Hyder Liaquat Nauman (RSM)	Single Entity
10.	Hassan Naeem & Co. (UHY)	Single Entity
11.	Naveed Zafar Ashfaq Jaffery & Co. (PrimeGlobal)	Single Entity

The minimum marks for shortlisting were set at 70 by the Consultant Selection Committee. Based on the shortlisting criteria given in EOI, six (6) consulting firms were shortlisted. The RFP was issued to the six (6) shortlisted consulting firms.

Sr.	Shortlisted	Marks
1.	Taseer Hadi & Co. (KPMG)	100
2.	A.F. Ferguson & Co. (PWC Network)	88
3.	Riaz Ahmad, Saqib, Gohar & Co.	88
4.	Hassan Naeem & Co. (UHY)	70
5.	Naveed Zafar Ashfaq Jaffery & Co. (PrimeGlobal)	70
6.	Rehman Sarfaraz Rahim Iqbal Rafiq (Russell Bedford)	70

Sr.	Not Shortlisted	Marks
1.	Avais Hyder Liaquat Nauman (RSM)	64
2.	Aslam Malik & Co. (UK 2000 Group International)	58
3.	PKF F.R.A.N.T.S.	56
4.	Grant Thornton (KSA)	56
5.	Suriya Nauman Rehan & Co.	25

1.3 Technical Evaluation

By close of date for submission of proposals, five (5) out of six (6) consulting firms submitted their proposals. The CSC evaluated the technical proposals as per the criteria indicated in the RFP. The results of technical evaluation are as under:

Minimum qualifying score 70 Points

Sr.	Consulting Firms	Technical Score	Status
1.	Taseer Hadi & Co. (KPMG)	73.20	Qualified
2.	A.F. Ferguson & Co. (PWC Network)	73.77	Qualified
3.	Riaz Ahmad, Saqib, Gohar & Co.	63.11	Disqualified
4.	Hassan Naeem & Co. (UHY)	42.90	Disqualified
5.	Naveed Zafar Ashfaq Jaffery & Co. (PrimeGlobal)	54.94	Disqualified

Section II. Technical Evaluation Report—Forms

Form IIA. Technical Evaluation—Basic Data

Form IIB. Evaluation Summary—Technical Scores/Ranking

Form IIA. Technical Evaluation - Basic Data

2.1	Request for Proposals: (a) issuance to Consultants	Date 03-Nov-2022
2.2	Amendments to the RFP (describe)	None
2.3	Contract: (a) Bank Standard Time-Based (b) Bank Standard Lump Sum (c) other (describe)	Yes Price adjustment: YesNo Yes_✓ Price adjustment: YesNo _✓
2.4	Pre-proposal conference: (a) minutes issued	Yes ✓ No Yes ✓ No
2.5	Proposal submission: (a) two envelopes (technical and financial proposals) (b) one envelope (technical) (c) original submission (d) extensions(s)	Yes Yes Date
2.6	Submission of Financial Proposal	LocationLahore
2.7	Opening of Technical Proposals by selection committee	Date <u>05-Dec-2022</u> Time <u>02:30 pm</u>
2.8	Number of proposals submitted	Five (5)
2.9	Proposal validity period (days): (a) original expiration date (b) extension(s), if any	120 days Date 04-April-2023 Date N/A

Form IIB. Evaluation Summary

Technical Scores/Ranking

v. Naveed Zafar Ashfaq Jaffery & Co.	Scores	5.50	7	42.44	54.94	Rejected
iv. UHY Hassan Naeem & Co.	Scores	9	9	30.90	42.90	Rejected
iii. Riaz Ahmad saqib Sohar & Co.	Scores	7	6	47.11	63.11	Rejected
ii. KPMG Taseer Hadi & Co.	Scores	10	12	51.20	73.20	2
i. A.F Ferguson & Co.	Scores	6	13	51.77	73.77	1
Consultants' names	Criteria	Methodology	Work Plan	Proposed staff	Total score	Rank

Proposals scoring below the minimum qualifying score of 70 points have been rejected.

a.

Section III. Financial Evaluation Report—Award Recommendation—Forms

Form IIIA. Financial Evaluation—Basic Data

Form IIIB. Adjustments—Currency Conversion—Evaluated Prices

Form IIIC. QCBS—Combined Technical/Financial Evaluation

Form IVC. Award Recommendation

Form IIIA. Financial Evaluation—Basic Data

	evaluation report (QCBS)	Not Applicable (Post Review)
3.2	Public opening of financial proposals (a) Names and proposal prices	Date <u>20-Jan-2023</u> Time <u>11:30 am</u>
	(mark Consultants that attended public opening)	1. M/s. A.F Ferguson & Co. (attended public opening) Proposal price PKR 115,482,755
		2. M/s. KPMG Taseer Hadi & Co. (attended public opening) Proposal price PKR 147.600.661

3.3 Methodology (formula) for evaluation of cost

3.1 Bank's no-objection to technical

Financial Score = 100 x Lowest / Proposal Price

3.4 Submission of final technical/financial evaluation report to the Bank

Not Applicable (Post Review)

3.5 QCBS

(a) Technical, financial and final scores

Consultant'	Technical	Financial	Final
Name	scores	scores	scores
A.F. Ferguson	59.02	20.00	79.02
KPMG	58.56	15.65	74.21

(b) Award recommendation

M/s. A.F Ferguson & Co.

Form IIIB. Adjustments—Currency Conversion—Evaluated Prices¹

	Proposa	Proposals' prices	Adjustments	Evaluated price(s)	Conversion to currency of evaluation	ncy of evaluation	Financial scores
Consultants' Names	Currency	Amounts (1)	(2)	(3) = (1) + (2)	Exchange rate(s) (4)	Proposals' prices $(5) = (3)(4)$	(9)
M/s. A.F Ferguson & Co.	PKR	115,482,755	N/A	115,482,755	N/A	115,482,755	100
M/s. KPMG Taseer Hadi & Co.	PKR	147,600,661	N/A	147,600,661	N/A	147,600,661	78.24

Arithmetical errors and omissions of items included in the technical proposals. Adjustments may be positive or negative. a.

During the evaluation, no adjustments were made to the prices of proposals. For a lump-sum contract, the Consulting Firms were deemed to have included all prices in its financial proposal, so neither arithmetical correction nor price adjustment was made. The total price, inclusive of taxes, as included in the financial proposal was considered as offered price. р.

Form IIIC. QCBS—Combined Technical/Financial Evaluation

		Technical		Fina	Financial	Combined Evaluation	notion
	Technical	Weighted		Financial	Weighted	Collidined	Valuation
	scores	scores	Technical	scores	scores	Scores	
Consultants' names	S(t)	$S(t) \times T$	rank	S(f)	$S(f) \times F$	S(t) T + S(f) F	Rank
M/s. A.F Ferguson & Co.	73.77	59.02	1	100	20	79.02	-
M/s. KPMG Taseer Hadi & Co.	73.20	58.56	2	78.24	15.65	74.21	7
Award recommendation	To highest coml Consultant's na	To highest combined technical/financial score. Consultant's name: M/s. A.F Ferguson & Co.	ancial score.	·0			

Technical weightage (T) = 80Financial weightage (F) = 20

Form IIID. Award Recommendation

On account of highest combined technical/financial score, the Consultant Selection Committee (CSC) recommended award of contract for **consulting services** of **Internal Audit** to M/s A.F Ferguson, and invited them for Negotiations Meeting.

(Mr. Ali Faisal)

Procurement Specialist, PSU

(Mr. Shahid Mahmood Adil)

Public Sector Specialist (Co-opted member)

(Mr. Zia Ullah Khan)

Superintendent (P&D) Finance Department

(Mr. Naveed Iqbal)
Assistant ChiefP&D Board

(Mr. Hafeez Aziz)

Financial Management Specialist PIU/PSU, P&D Board

(Mr. Mirza Adnan Baig)

Section Officer (Policy & Reform)

Finance Department

(Mr. Ali Jalal)
Program Director, PIU/PSU
P&D Board

Annex I. Minutes of Public Opening of Financial Proposals

ATTACHED



PSU/PRIDE/P&DB/2022 GOVERNMENT OF THE PUNJAB PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT PROGRAM SUPPORT UNIT Date Lahore the January 20, 2023

Subject:

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF CONSULTANT SELECTION COMMITTEE (CSC) FOR HIRING OF INTERNAL AUDIT FIRM UNDER PRIDE PROGRAM, P&D BOARD

The Consultant Selection Committee (CSC) meeting of Program Implementation Unit (PIU)/Program Support Unit (PSU), Planning & Development Department was held on January 20, 2023 at 11:30 (A.M) at PSU Office, 5th Floor, Vogue Towers, Block C2, Gulberg III, Lahore under the chairmanship of Program Director, PIU/PSU, P&D Board. The list of participants is attached as **Annex-A**.

Agenda:

(i) Opening of Financial Proposals of technically qualified firms for "Hiring of Internal Audit Firm"

Background:

It was briefed that on 05.12.2022, the CSC opened the technical proposals of five shortlisted firms i.e. M/s. A.F Ferguson & Co, M/s. KPMG Taseer Hadi & Co, M/s. Riaz Ahmad Saqib Gohar & Co, M/s. UHY Hassan Naeem & Co., and M/s. Naveed Zafar Ashfaq Jaffery & Co. under Quality & Cost Based Selection method as defined in the World Bank Procurement Regulations.

Afterwards, the CSC evaluated the technical proposals of the above referred five (05) shortlisted firms wherein the technical proposals of M/s. A.F Ferguson & Co. and M/s. KPMG Taseer Hadi & Co. were found qualified as per criteria mentioned in the Request for Proposals, whereas. M/s. Riaz Ahmad Saqib Gohar & Co, M/s. UHY Hassan Naeem & Co., and M/s. Naveed Zafar Ashfaq Jaffery & Co. could not score the minimum qualifying marks hence declared technically disqualified. The technical scores of all five firms out of 100 marks are given as under whereas total qualifying marks were 70.

Sr. No.	Name of Firm	Technical Score out of 100 Marks	Status of Firm Qualified/Disqualified
01	M/s. A.F Ferguson & Co.	73.77	Technically Qualified
02	M/s. KPMG Taseer Hadi & Co.	73.20	Technically Qualified
03	M/s. Riaz Ahmad Saqib Gohar & Co.	63.11	Disqualified
04	M/s. UHY Hassan Naeem & Co.	42.90	Disqualified
05	M/s. Naveed Zafar Ashfaq Jaffery & Co.	54.94	Disqualified

Minutes:

The CSC opened the sealed financial proposals of technically qualified firms after 10 Business Days from the date of notification of the results of Technical Evaluation on the website of PIU and PPRA, under QCBS method as defined in the World Bank's Procurement Regulations.

Before opening of sealed financial proposals, the names of technically qualified firms and their technical scores were read aloud. Afterwards, the sealed financial proposals were opened by the CSC in the presence of representative of A.F Ferguson and M/s. KPMG Taseer Hadi & Co. and readout their quoted prices (inclusive of all taxes), as per detail given below:

Financial Proposal				
Sr.	Consulting Firm	Currency	Offered Price (inclusive of all taxes)	
1	M/s. A.F Ferguson & Co.	PKR	115,482,755	
2	M/s. KPMG Taseer Hadi & Co.	PKR	147,600,661	

The CSC decided to return the sealed / unopened financial proposals of the technically disqualified firms after completing the selection process and contract signing. The CSC further decided to proceed with financial/combined evaluation and contract negotiation with

the selected/top ranked firm

(Mr. Ali Faisal)
Procurement Specialist, PSU

(Mr. Shahid Mahmood Adil)

Public Sector Specialist (Co-opted member)

(Mr. Zia Ullah Khan) Superintendent (P&D) Finance Department (Mr. Naveed Iqbal)
Assistant Chief P&D Board

(Mr. Hafeez Aziz)

Financial Management Specialist

PIU/PSU, P&D Board

(Mr. Mirza Adnan Baig)

Section Officer (Policy & Reform)

Finance Department

(Mr. Ali Jalal)

Program Director, PIU/PSU

P&D Board

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

- 1. Mr. Ali Jalal, Program Director, PIU, P&DB
- 2. Mr. Shahid Mahmood Adil, Public Sector Specialist, PSU, P&DB
- 3. Mr. Ali Faisal, Procurement Specialist, PSU, P&DB
- 4. Mr. M. Hafeez Aziz, Financial Management Specialist, PIU,P&DB
- 5. Mr. Naveed Iqbal, Assistant Chief (Consultancies), P&D Board
- 6. Mr. Mirza Adnan Baig, Section Officer, P&R, Finance Department
- 7. Mr. Zia Ullah Khan, Superintendent, P&D, Finance Department

