

**TECHNICAL EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS**  
**"THIRD PARTY VALIDATION OF PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES AND DISBURSEMENT LINK INDICATORS (DLIS) FOR STRENGTHENING MARKETS FOR AGRICULTURE AND RURAL TRANSFORMATION (SMART) PUNJAB PROGRAM UNDER PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION UNIT, PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT"**

Sr. No.	Evaluation Criterion	Points Allocated	Points Awarded							RHC Pvt. Ltd.
			A.F. Ferguson	EY FORD RHODES	KPMG Taseer Hadi & Co.	SEMC Pvt Ltd	UHY Hassan Naeem & Co.	NESPAK		
(i)	<b>Company Profile (20%)</b>									
a)	Number of Similar Assignments (Size and Complexity) (40%)	8.00	6.40	8.00	8.00	4.80	4.80	6.40	1.60	6.40
b)	Value (Cost) of Similar Assignments (40%)	8.00	6.40	4.80	3.20	4.80	4.80	0.00	0.00	0.00
c)	Availability of Quality Management System/Organizational Structure (10%)	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
d)	Financial capacity (provide audited financial reports/ income tax returns for last three years) with minimum 5 Million turn over (10%)	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
	<b>Total (A<sub>1</sub>)</b>	<b>20.0</b>	<b>16.8</b>	<b>16.8</b>	<b>15.2</b>	<b>13.6</b>	<b>10.4</b>	<b>10.4</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>10.4</b>
(ii)	<b>Project Team (60%)</b>									
a)	Task Leader	15.00	14.00	12.50	11.75	13.50	13.50	12.90	6.50	6.50
b)	Quality Control Manager	9.00	8.50	6.70	7.70	1.40	1.40	7.10	7.10	6.90
c)	Field Supervisor-I	7.20	6.70	6.76	6.60	6.86	6.86	6.55	4.96	6.98
d)	Field Supervisor-II	7.20	7.14	6.76	6.70	6.86	6.86	6.55	6.66	6.68
e)	Field Supervisor-III	7.20	6.90	6.76	6.70	6.86	6.86	6.55	6.46	6.90
f)	Field Supervisor-IV	7.20	6.90	8.24	6.60	6.66	6.66	6.55	6.46	6.80
g)	Field Supervisor-V	7.20	6.76	6.76	6.76	6.86	6.86	6.66	6.86	6.80
	<b>Total (A<sub>2</sub>)</b>	<b>60.0</b>	<b>56.9</b>	<b>54.5</b>	<b>52.8</b>	<b>49.0</b>	<b>52.9</b>	<b>45.0</b>	<b>47.6</b>	<b>47.6</b>
(iii)	<b>Approach+Methodology (20%)</b>									
a)	Understanding & Innovativeness	5.00	4.50	4.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.50	2.50	2.00
b)	Work plan & Staffing schedule	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
	Presentation on approach & Methodology	10.00	8.00	7.00	7.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
	<b>Total (A<sub>3</sub>)</b>	<b>20.0</b>	<b>16.5</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>14.0</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>9.5</b>	<b>9.5</b>	<b>9.0</b>
	<b>Total (A<sub>1</sub>+A<sub>2</sub>+A<sub>3</sub>)</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>90.2</b>	<b>86.3</b>	<b>82.0</b>	<b>70.6</b>	<b>72.8</b>	<b>60.1</b>	<b>67.0</b>	<b>67.0</b>

Status of the firms against minimum qualifying marks of 65

Rep. Planning & Development Department  
*[Signature]*

Rep. Finance Department  
*[Signature]*

Procurement Specialist, PIU  
*[Signature]*

Program Director, PIU  
*[Signature]*

PIU, PIU